



# Dependent Haskell

Richard Eisenberg  
University of Pennsylvania  
eir@cis.upenn.edu

Saturday, 6 September, 2014  
HIW, Göteborg, Sweden

Demo

# Disclaimer

This is preliminary.

I want your input.

# Spoiler Alert!

- All your old Haskell programs will still work.\*
- Including non-terminating ones.
- Type inference will, hopefully, remain predictable.

\* `let` really should not be generalized. Even over kinds.

# Outline, in brief

I. Surface language design of  
Dependent Haskell

II. Current status

# Quantifiers, Today

Quantifier	Dep?	Visible?	Required?	Relevant?
<code>forall (...) .</code>	Yes	unification	free vars	No
<code>-&gt;</code>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<code>=&gt;</code>	No	solving	Yes	Yes

# Quantifiers, Today

Can the quantifiee appear later in the type?

Quantifier	Dep?	Visible?	Required?	Relevant?
<code>forall (...)</code>	Yes	unification	free vars	No
<code>-&gt;</code>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<code>=&gt;</code>	No	solving	Yes	Yes

# Quantifiers Today

Must a caller write an argument in this slot?

Quantifier	Dep?	Visible?	Required?	Relevant?
<code>forall (...)</code>	Yes	unification	free vars	No
<code>-&gt;</code>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<code>=&gt;</code>	No	solving	Yes	Yes



# Quantifiers Today

Must this quantifier appear in a type sig?

Quantifier	Dep?	Visible?	Required?	Relevant?
<code>forall (...)</code>	Yes	unification	free vars	No
<code>-&gt;</code>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<code>=&gt;</code>	No	solving	Yes	Yes

# Quantifiers Today

Can the quantifier appear later in the term?

Quantifier	Dep?	Visible?	Required?	Relevant?
<code>forall (...)</code>	Yes	unification	free vars	No
<code>-&gt;</code>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<code>=&gt;</code>	No	solving	Yes	Yes

# Quantifiers, Tomorrow

Quantifier	Dep?	Visible?	Required?	Relevant?
<code>forall.</code>	Yes	unification	FVs	No
<code>forall-&gt;</code>	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
<code>pi.</code>	Yes	unification	Yes	Yes
<code>pi-&gt;</code>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<code>-&gt;</code>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<code>=&gt;</code>	No	solving	Yes	Yes

# $\Pi$

Pi-bound identifiers live in both terms and types:

```
replicate ::  
  forall a. pi (n :: Nat) -> a -> Vec a n  
replicate Zero _ = Nil  
replicate (Succ n') x  
  = x ::: replicate n' x
```

# Type = Kind



All types can be used as kinds

type synonyms

type families

GADTs

# Type = Kind

IT WORKS!

```
data T k a (b :: k) = MkT (a b)
-- T :: pi (k :: U) -> (k -> U) -> k -> U
```

# Core Language

IT WORKS!

See

Weirich, Hsu, Eisenberg  
*System FC With Explicit Kind Equality*  
ICFP '13

# Parsing

Below is my best guess. Advice welcome.

- Combine type, kind, and term parsers.
- ‘ injects term in a type; ^ injects type in a term.
- If a name is missing from the default namespace, try the other one.



# Parsing \*

Foo \* Int

Is it **Foo** applied to  
the kind **\*** and **Int**?

Is it **(\*)** applied to  
**Foo** and **Int**?

Proposal:

- Deprecate **\*** in all code
- Disallow **\*** with `-XDependentTypes`
- Export **U** (and **Constraint**) from `Data.Kind`
- Perhaps start this transition now

# Concrete Syntax Questions

- Can we even merge the type and term parsers?
- How to supply a visible argument when an invisible one is expected?  
Proposal: Prefix with @
- How to avoid supplying a visible argument when one is expected?  
Proposal: Use `_`. How does this work with holes?
- Is `forall (...)` -> just plain silly?
- What do we think of `U`?

# Other Open Questions

- Promoted type class dictionaries?
- Unsaturated type families? (But see Eisenberg & Stolarek; HS 2014)
- Optional termination checking? (But see Vazos, Seidel, & Jhala; ICFP 2014)
- Optional pattern-match totality checking?
- Other sources of partiality? (Non-strictly-positive datatypes, other recursive datatypes, etc.)
- Promoting infinite terms?

# Status Report

# Core Language

- Merged type/kind language: Done.
- Eliminated sub-kinding: Done.
- Pi-types: Designed core datatype; still propagating changes.

```
data Type = ... | PiTy Binder Ty | ...
data Binder = Binder
  { binder_payload      :: BinderVar
  , binder_dependence  :: DependenceFlag
  , binder_visibility  :: VisibilityFlag
  , binder_relevance   :: RelevanceFlag }
data BinderVar = Named Var | Anon Type
```

# Type Inference

- Merged type/kind language: Done.
- Accepting explicit kind variables: Done.
- Designed type inference algorithm, based on Gundry's, but to work with OUTSIDEIN: Done?
- Proof of correctness of inference algorithm: Under way.
- Goal: type inference will be sound and guess-free-complete, like current algorithm.
- Caveat: No plans for higher-order unification.

# Next Steps

- Merge the (type = kind) work into master, including type inference algorithm.
- Finish implementing  $\Pi$  in Core.
- Implement (and prove) type inference for a surface language with  $\Pi$ .
- Parse new language.
- Release.



# Dependent Haskell

Richard Eisenberg  
University of Pennsylvania  
eir@cis.upenn.edu

Saturday, 6 September, 2014  
HIW, Göteborg, Sweden



# $\Pi$

Arguments to be Pi-bound must be expressible in both terms and types.

Good: `replicate (Succ Zero) 'x'`

Bad: `let n = case Just Zero of  
          Nothing -> Zero  
          Just m   -> Succ m  
in  
replicate n 'x'`

# Parsing: Probable Problems

- `forall` must become a proper keyword, making it not a possible variable name.
- `'` means “term” in a type, but it means “Template Haskell quote” in a term.
- `!` is a strictness annotation in types and patterns, but an operator in terms.
- Non-problems: `->`    `=>`    `\`